



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,487	06/18/2001	Jin-Ho Park	5649-608DV	5097

7590 03/19/2007

HAE-CHAN PARK  
McGUIRE WOODS LLP  
1750 TYSONS BOULEVARD  
SUITE 1800  
McLEAN, VA 22102-4215

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief</b> <b>(37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/884,487	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PARK, JIN-HO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeff Piziali	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 01 December 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and **relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

  
 Jeff Piziali  
 12 March 2007

3/12/07

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

First and foremost, the appellant is cordially thanked for the '(Amended) Brief on Appeal Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37' filed 1 December 2006. However, at least one seemingly non-compliant issue has been discovered in the aforementioned response, requiring attention before examination may continue.

37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii) requires the 'Status of claims' section of the brief must contain, "A statement of the status of all the claims in the proceeding (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled) and an identification of those claims that are being appealed."

MPEP 1205.02 further explains the 'Status of claims' section of the brief must contain, "A statement of the status of all the claims in the application, or patent under reexamination, i.e., for each claim in the case, appellant must state whether it is cancelled, allowed or confirmed, rejected, withdrawn, objected to, etc. Each claim on appeal must be identified."

At present, the 'Status of claims' section of the brief neglects to state that claims 10-18 have the status of being rejected -- a statement required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii).

At least for such reasoning, the pending '(Amended) Brief on Appeal Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37' filed 1 December 2006 is deemed non-compliant.

Please note: The appeal brief has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered in a subsequent response, yet another Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief will be necessitated. Appellant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which appellant may become aware in the brief.



Jeff Piziali  
12 March 2007